

The Annulus and Disk Complex is Contractible

Qilong Guo

(Joint work with Yanqing Zou, and Faze Zhang)

School of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology

Background

- **Curve complex:**

Let S be an orientable closed connected surface with $g(S) > 1$.

Harvey defined curve complex $\mathcal{C}(S)$ of S as follows:

vertices : isotopy classes of ess. s.c.c.s on S

simplices : $k+1$ vertices determine a k -simplex

iff. they can be represented by disjoint curves.

Properties of $\mathcal{C}(S)$.

1. $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is connected and is a flag complex, (i.e. a set of vertices determines a simplex iff any two vertices are connected by an edge) .
2. (Harer) $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of spheres $S^{(-\chi(S))}$.
3. (Masur and Minsky) $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is a $(\delta-)$ hyperbolic space.

- **Disk complex:**

Let S be the boundary of a handlebody H .

Hempel(?) defined the disk complex $\mathcal{D}(H)$ as follows:

vertices : isotopy classes of ess. s.c.c.s on S bounding disks in H

simplices : $k+1$ vertices determine a k -simplex

iff. they can be represented by disjoint curves.

Properties of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{H})$

1. $\mathcal{D}(S)$ is also connected and is a flag complex.
2. (McCullough) $\mathcal{D}(S)$ is contractible.
3. (Masur and Schleimer) $\mathcal{D}(S)$ is also a $(\delta-)$ hyperbolic space.

Definition of annulus and disk complex

Let C be a compression body and S be the plus boundary of C . (For convention, we say that an ess. s.c.c c on S bounds a spanning annulus A if it is a boundary component of A).

Then we define the annulus and disk complex $\mathcal{AD}(C)$ as follows:

vertices : isotopy classes of ess. s.c.c on S bounding essential disks or spanning annuli.

simplices : $k+1$ vertices determine a k -simplex

iff. they can be represented by disjoint curves.

Properties of $\mathcal{AD}(C)$.

1. $\mathcal{AD}(C)$ is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{C}(S)$.

2. If C is a trivial compression body, then $\mathcal{AD}(C)$ is just $\mathcal{C}(S)$.
If C is a handlebody, then $\mathcal{AD}(C)$ becomes of $\mathcal{D}(C)$

3. $\mathcal{AD}(C)$ is also a connected flag complex.

• **Natural question:** What's the topological and geometric property of $\mathcal{AD}(C)$? (homotopy type? δ -hyperbolic space?)

Using McCullough's method, we prove that

• Theorem:

$\mathcal{AD}(C)$ is contractible if C is non-trivial.

Before the proof, we define another simplex $\widetilde{\mathcal{AD}}(C)$ which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{AD}(C)$:

vertices : isotopy classes of essential disks and spanning annuli in C

simplices : $k+1$ vertices determine a k -simplex

iff. they can be represented by disjoint surfaces.

Not hard to see $\widetilde{\mathcal{AD}}(C)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{AD}(C)$. So only necessary to prove $\widetilde{\mathcal{AD}}(C)$ is contractible.

Sketch of Proof

- Some basic lemmas

1. A CW-complex X is contractible, if and only if any map from sphere S^q to X is null-homotopic for any integer $q \geq 0$.

2. Suppose A is a subspace of X , and A is contractible. Then any map $f : Y \rightarrow X$ satisfying $f(Y) \subset A$ is null-homotopic.

3. Suppose a is a vertex of a simplicial complex X .

Let $St_a X$ be the star neighborhood of a in X and denote the closure of $St_a X$ in X by $\overline{St_a X}$. Then $\overline{St_a X}$ is contractible.

Let $X = \widetilde{\mathcal{AD}}(C)$.

Idea :

For any map $f : S^q \rightarrow X$,

find another map g ,

s.t. g is homotopic to f and $g(S^q) \subset \overline{St_a X}$ for some $a \in X^{(0)}$.

To realize this idea, first choose an essential disk E of C , and let $a = [E]$ be a vertex of X (This is why we require that C is non-trivial).

Define a space $\Omega := \{(K, g) : K \text{ is a triangulation of } S^q, \text{ and } g : (S^q, K) \rightarrow X \text{ is simplicial and is homotopic to } f\}$

Define a complexity function P on Ω as follows:

$$\forall (K, g) \in \Omega,$$

$$P_i(K, g) := \# \text{ of } \{v \in K^0 : g(v) \cdot a = i\}..$$

$$P(K, g) := (\dots, P_3(K, g), P_2(K, g), P_1(K, g)),$$

and the complexities are ordered lexicographically.

Claim: If (K, g) realize the minimal complexity of P in Ω , then $P(K, g) = (\dots 0, \dots, 0)$.

Claim \Rightarrow

each vertex v of K is mapped into $\overline{St_a X}$ (since $g(v) \cdot a = 0$) \Rightarrow
 $g(K) \subset \overline{St_a X}$ (since g is a simplicial map).

Proof of Claim

Suppose $P_i(K, g) = 0$ for all $i > n$ and $P_n(K, g) > 0$ for some $n > 0$.

Choose a vertex $v_0 \in K$ such that $g(v_0) \cdot a = n$.

Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k be the vertices in K adjacent to v_0 .

Then

\exists representatives F_i for $g(v_i)$ each i

s.t.

1. F_i intersects F_j minimally for $i \neq j$, i.e. $|F_i \cap F_j| = [F_i] \cdot [F_j]$;

2. Each F_i intersects E minimally, i.e. $|F_i \cap E| = [F_i] \cdot [E]$.

$n > 0 \Rightarrow F_0 \cap E \neq \emptyset.$

Consider an outermost arc α of $F_0 \cap E$ on E .

There is an outermost disc $B \subset E$ such that $\partial B \subset \alpha \cup \partial E$ and $\text{int}(B) \cap F_0 = \emptyset.$

Then there are two possibilities.

Case 1. $B \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^k F_i) = \emptyset.$

Case 2. $B \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^k F_i) \neq \emptyset.$

Proof of Case 1

Surgery F_0 along B , get another ess disk or spanning annulus F'_0 .

Then $[F'_0] \cdot [E] < [F_0] \cdot [E]$.

Let \hat{g} be a map defined on vertices of K by $\hat{g}(w) = g(w)$ if $w \neq v_0$ and $\hat{g}(v_0) = [F'_0]$.

Then we can extend \hat{g} to an simplicial map from (S^q, K) to X by linear extension. (This can be done since all vertices of a simplex of K are carried into a common simplex of X by \hat{g}).

We can find a homotopy g_t such that

$$g_0 = g, g_1 = \widehat{g}, g_t|_{K^0 - \{v_0\}} = g|_{K^0 - \{v_0\}}$$

and $g_t(v_0)$ moves from $[F_0]$ to $[F'_0]$ on the 1-simplex $\langle [F_0][F'_0] \rangle$ when t increases from 0 to 1.

Each g_t can be extended to whole K by linear extension, since all vertices of a simplex of K are carried into a common simplex of X by each g_t .

Not hard to see $P(K, \widehat{g}) < P(K, g)$. Contradiction!

The proof of the second case is similar (through more complicated).

End

THANK YOU !